

Solution to Problem 878 of The College Mathematics Journal, May Issue 2008

Eugen J. Ionascu

Department of Mathematics, Columbus State University,
4225 University Dr., Columbus, GA 31907

August 11th, 2008

Problem 878. *Proposed by Jose Luis Diaz-Barrero, Universidad Politecnica de Cataluna, Barcelona, Spain* Let a , b , and c be the lengths of the sides and s the semi-perimeter of the triangle ABC. Prove that

$$(a + b - c)^{a+b+s}(a - b + c)^{b+c+s}(-a + b + c)^{c+a+s} \leq a^{\frac{a}{2}+2s}b^{\frac{b}{2}+2s}c^{\frac{c}{2}+2s}. \quad (1)$$

Solution: In [1] the author of this problem describes a way of deriving inequalities of this type. In this case we look at the function $f(x) = (2s + \frac{x}{2}) \ln x$ and check that

$$f''(x) = \frac{x - 4s}{2x^2} < 0, \text{ for all } x < 4s.$$

This is a concave function and so one can use Karamata's Inequality for the triples $(a + b - c, a - b + c, -a + b + c)$ and (a, b, c) . Indeed, without loss of generality we may assume $a \geq b \geq c$ and see that the first triple majorizes the second:

$$a + b - c \geq a, \quad a + b - c + a - b + c \geq a + b,$$

$$(a + b - c) + (a - b + c) + (-a + b + c) = a + b + c.$$

Karamata's Inequality or the Majorization Inequality (see [2] and [3]) states that if (x_1, \dots, x_n) majorizes (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) and f is a concave function whose domain contains the points x_i and y_i then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i).$$

Clearly, the above values are in the concavity interval of f . Hence

$$f(a + b - c) + f(a - b + c) + f(-a + b + c) \leq f(a) + f(b) + f(c),$$

which is easily seen to be equivalent to the required inequality (1). ■

References

- [1] J. Luis Diaz-Barrero, *Some cyclical inequalities for the triangle*, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 6(1) Art 20, 2005
- [2] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Academic Press, NY, 1979
- [3] C. Niculescu and F. Popovici, *The extension of majorization inequalities within the framework of relative convexity*, J. Ineq. Pure and Appl. Math. 7(1) Art 27, 2006