

THE SEMIGROUP OF ONE-TO-ONE TRANSFORMATIONS WITH FINITE DEFECTS

by INESSA LEVI and BORIS M. SCHEIN

(Received 25 March, 1988)

Let \mathcal{S} be the semigroup of all total one-to-one transformations of an infinite set X . For an $f \in \mathcal{S}$ let the *defect* of f , $\text{def } f$, be the cardinality of $X - R(f)$, where $R(f) = f(X)$ is the range of f . Then \mathcal{S} is a disjoint union of the symmetric group \mathcal{G}_X on X , the semigroup S of all transformations in \mathcal{S} with finite non-zero defects and the semigroup \bar{S} of all transformations in \mathcal{S} with infinite defects, such that $S \cup \bar{S}$ and \bar{S} are ideals of \mathcal{S} . The properties of \mathcal{G}_X and \bar{S} have been investigated by a number of authors (for the latter it was done via Baer-Levi semigroups, see [2], [3], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10] and note that \bar{S} decomposes into a union of Baer-Levi semigroups). Our aim here is to study the semigroup S . It is not difficult to see that S is left cancellative (we compose functions f, g in S as $fg(x) = f(g(x))$, for $x \in X$) and idempotent-free. All automorphisms of S are inner [4], that is of the form $f \mapsto hfh^{-1}$, $f \in S$, $h \in \mathcal{G}_X$.

In the present paper, we are concerned with congruences, Green's relations and ideals of S . A large variety of distinct types of congruences on S is present and the main results are the content of Theorems 4, 5 and 6. In the concluding remark we state some unsolved problems and conjectures on congruences on S .

For $f, g \in S$, let $D(f, g) = \{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$. The next lemma is easily verified.

LEMMA 1. *Let f, g, t be one-to-one transformations. Then*

- (i) $\text{def}(fg) = \text{def } f + \text{def } g$;
- (ii) $D(tf, tg) = D(f, g)$;
- (iii) $t(D(ft, gt)) = D(f, g) \cap R(t)$.

Let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}$ be the Green's relations on S [1, p. 47-49] and i be the diagonal congruence. For an $f \in S$ let $R_f[L_f, J_f]$ denote the principal right [left, two-sided] ideal of S generated by f . Denote by \mathbb{N} the set of all natural numbers. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$C_n = \{f \in S : \text{def } f = n\}, I_n = \bigcup \{C_k : k \geq n\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, I_n is an ideal of S .

PROPOSITION 2. (i) $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J} = i$ on S .

For every $f \in S$,

- (ii) $L_f = J_f = \{f\} \cup I_n$, where $n = 1 + \text{def } f$;
- (iii) $R_f = f \cup T(A)$, where $A = R(f)$ and $T(A) = \{g \in S : R(g) \subseteq A\}$.
- (iv) A subset I of S is an ideal of S if and only if $I = B \cup I_n$ for some $n \geq 2$ and $B \subseteq C_{n-1}$.

Proof. (i)-(iii) can be easily verified using Lemma 1, while (iv) follows from (ii) and an observation that every ideal is a union of principal ideals.

We remark that not every ideal of S is principal, for example, if B is a proper subset

of C_1 consisting of more than one element, then the ideal $B \cup I_2$ is a non-principal ideal of S .

For an ideal I of a semigroup S the *Rees ideal congruence* I^* is such that $(f, g) \in I^*$ iff either $f = g$ or $f, g \in I$, where $f, g \in S$. As usual, we write S/I for S/I^* . Observe that Proposition 2 (iv) describes all Rees ideal congruences on S .

Another type of congruences on S is defined as follows. Let α be an infinite cardinal that does not exceed $|X|^+$, the cardinal successor of $|X|$. Then a relation Δ_α on S for which $(f, g) \in \Delta_\alpha$ iff $|D(f, g)| < \alpha$ is a congruence on S .

Let δ be a relation on S such that for $f, g \in S$, $(f, g) \in \delta$ iff $\text{def } f = \text{def } g$. Lemma 1 ensures that δ is a congruence on S .

PROPOSITION 3. (i) For every infinite $\alpha \leq |X|^+$, Δ_α is a cancellative congruence on S .
 (ii) $\Delta_{\aleph_0} \subseteq \delta$.

Proof. While (i) follows from Lemma 1, to prove (ii) let $(f, g) \in \Delta_{\aleph_0}$, $D(f, g) = D$, $f(D) = A$, $g(D) = B$, and $(X - R(f)) \cap (X - R(g)) = C$. Then $X - R(f) = [(X - R(f)) \cap R(g)] \cup [(X - R(f)) \cap (X - R(g))] = [(X - R(f)) \cap B] \cup C = (B - A) \cup C$. Similarly, $X - R(g) = (A - B) \cup C$, and the result follows from the fact that $|A| = |D| = |B| < \aleph_0$.

Now we are in a position to present our main result on congruences on S . These are given in Theorems 4, 5 and 6 below. Theorem 4 describes all the congruences $\lambda \subseteq \Delta_{\aleph_0}$. It is shown that for every such λ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that λ coincides with Δ_{\aleph_0} on I_n . Our description of $\lambda \subseteq \Delta_{\aleph_0}$ is given in terms of equivalence series defined below.

Let ρ_k be an equivalence on C_k , $k \geq 1$. We say that an equivalence ρ_l on C_l , $l > k$, is *derived from* ρ_k if whenever $(f, g) \in \rho_k$, $t \in S$ with $\text{def } t = l - k$ then (ft, gt) , $(tf, tg) \in \rho_l$. Every congruence λ on S induces in a natural way an equivalence $\tilde{\lambda}$ on C_k , $k \geq 1$. Given an equivalence ρ_k on C_k such that $\rho_k \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_{\aleph_0}$ let Σ_k denote a set of equivalences ρ_l on C_l , $l \geq k$, such that for every $l > k$, $\rho_l \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_{\aleph_0}$ and ρ_l is derived from ρ_{l-1} . We refer to such Σ_k as an *equivalence series derived from* ρ_k . We show (Lemma 10) that every such series is finite and hence we can define a *maximal* equivalence series Σ_k derived from ρ_k such that if $m = \max\{l : \rho_l \in \Sigma_k\}$ then every equivalence derived from ρ_m coincides with Δ_{\aleph_0} .

THEOREM 4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho_n \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_{\aleph_0}$ be a non-trivial equivalence on C_n and Σ_n be an equivalence series derived from ρ_n with $m = \max\{l : \rho_l \in \Sigma_n\}$. Then

$$\rho = \epsilon \cup \{\rho_k : \rho_k \in \Sigma_n\} \cup (\Delta_{\aleph_0} \cap (I_{m+1} \times I_{m+1})) \tag{1}$$

is a congruence on S contained in Δ_{\aleph_0} .

Conversely, if $\lambda \subseteq \Delta_{\aleph_0}$ is a non-diagonal congruence on S then there exists a non-trivial equivalence $\rho_n \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_{\aleph_0}$ on C_n and a maximal equivalence series Σ_n derived from ρ_n such that $\lambda = \rho$ as defined above.

In the following we describe congruences Δ_α , $\aleph_0 \leq \alpha \leq |X|^+$. We observe that every Δ_α can be extended in a natural way to a congruence Δ'_α on $\mathcal{G}_X \cup S$, (so that for $f, g \in \mathcal{G}_X \cup S$, $(f, g) \in \Delta_\alpha$ if $|D(f, g)| < \alpha$). Note that $\Delta_{|X|^+} = S \times S$, the universal congruence on S . Let Λ be the lattice of congruences on S , and if $\rho, \sigma \in \Lambda$, write

$$[\rho, \sigma] = \{\gamma \in \Lambda : \rho \subseteq \gamma \subseteq \sigma\}, [\rho] = \{\gamma \in \Lambda : \rho \subseteq \gamma\}, (\rho) = \{\gamma \in \Lambda : \rho \subseteq \gamma\}.$$

THEOREM 5. (i) Δ_{\aleph_0} is a minimal cancellative idempotent-free congruence on S .

For every $\aleph_0 < \alpha \leq |X|^+$ the following holds:

- (ii) Δ_α is a group congruence on S and $(\mathcal{G}_X \cup S)/\Delta'_\alpha \cong S/\Delta_\alpha \cong \mathcal{G}_X/\Delta'_\alpha$;
- (iii) $[\Delta_\alpha] = \{\Delta_\beta : \beta > \alpha\}$.

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\sigma(m, n)$ be a congruence on S such that $(f, g) \in \sigma(m, n)$ if either $f = g$ or $\text{def } f, \text{def } g \geq m$ and $\text{def } f \equiv \text{def } g \pmod n$. Evidently $\delta \subseteq \sigma(m, n)$. Moreover, if a congruence γ contains δ , then S/γ is isomorphic to a homomorphic image of $S/\delta \cong (\mathbb{N}, +)$. Hence S/γ is isomorphic to a monogenic subsemigroup of type (m, n) , for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\gamma = \sigma(m, n)$. This proves the first part of Theorem 6 below. Now let \mathbb{N}_1 be the lattice of natural numbers ordered by divisibility, \mathbb{N}_1^* be the dual lattice. Let ω be the first infinite ordinal, ω^* be the dual order type. For a lattice A let A^0 denote the lattice obtained from A by adjoining a (new) least element 0.

THEOREM 6. (i) $[\delta] = \{\sigma(m, n) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

(ii) $[\delta] \cong (\omega^* \times \mathbb{N}_1^*)^0 \cong ((\omega \times \mathbb{N}_1)^*)^0$.

(iii) For every $n > 1$, $\sigma(1, n)$ is a group congruence on S and $S/\sigma(1, n) \cong Z_n$, a cyclic group of order n .

(iv) There is no least group congruence on S .

For every $\alpha > \aleph_0$,

(v) $S/\delta \cap \Delta_\alpha \cong (\mathcal{G}_X/\Delta'_\alpha) \times (\mathbb{N}, +)$;

(vi) $S/\sigma(m, n) \cap \Delta_\alpha \cong (\mathcal{G}_X/\Delta'_\alpha) \times (\mathbb{N}, +)/\eta(m, n)$,

where $\eta(m, n)$ is a congruence on the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ such that $(k, l) \in \eta(m, n)$ if either $k = l$ or $k, l \geq m$ and $k \equiv l \pmod n$;

(vii) for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C_m/\Delta_\alpha$, $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, $A = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$, and $\bar{A} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m C_i\right) - A$

$\theta(\alpha, m, n, \mathcal{A}) = (\delta \cap \Delta_\alpha \cap (\bar{A} \times \bar{A})) \cup (\sigma(m, n) \cap \Delta_\alpha \cap ((I_{m+1} \cup A) \times (I_{m+1} \cup A)))$

is a congruence on S .

(viii) every congruence $\gamma \in (\delta \cap \Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\alpha)$, $\gamma \not\subseteq \delta$, has the form $\theta(\alpha, m, n, \mathcal{A})$ as described in (vii).

Proofs of Theorems 4, 5 and 6 constitute the remainder of the paper.

LEMMA 7. Given $f, g, t, s \in S$ such that $D(f, g) = D(t, s) = \{x\}$, where $x \in X$, and $\text{def } f = \text{def } g > \text{def } s = \text{def } t$, there exists $l \in S$ with $ls = f$ and $lt = g$.

Proof. Observe that $g(x) \notin R(f)$, $t(x) \notin R(s)$ and choose a 1-1 function

$$l_1 : X - R(s) - \{t(x)\} \rightarrow X - R(f) - \{g(x)\},$$

(note that since $\text{def } s < \text{def } f$, l_1 has a finite non-zero defect in $X - R(f) - \{g(x)\}$). Define a function $l : X \rightarrow X$ as follows. For a $y \in X$, let

$$l(y) = \begin{cases} f(z) & \text{if } y = s(z) \quad \text{for some } z \in X, \\ g(x) & \text{if } y = t(x), \\ l_1(y) & \text{if } y \in X - R(s) - \{t(x)\}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $l \in S$ and $ls = f$, also $lt(x) = g(x)$ and for $u \neq x$, $lt(u) = ls(u) = f(u)$, so that $lt = f$.

LEMMA 8. Let $(f, g) \in \Delta_{x_0}$, $f \neq g$. Then there exist $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S$ such that $|D(f_i, f_{i+1})| = 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $f_1 = f, f_n = g$.

Proof. Let $|D(f, g)| = m$. We prove the result by induction on m . Assume that the statement is true for every pair of functions in S that differ on at most $m - 1$ points. Let $D(f, g) = D, f(D) = A$ and $g(D) = B$. Consider two cases:

(i) $A \neq B$.

Choose $b \in B - A$, and let $g(d) = b$, where $d \in D$. Let $f_1 = f$ and define f_2 as follows: $f_2|_{X-\{d\}} = f_1|_{X-\{d\}}$ and $f_2(d) = b$. Then $D(f_1, f_2) = \{d\}$ and $D(f_2, g) = D - \{d\}$. By induction supposition there are $f_3, \dots, f_n \in S$ such that $f_n = g$ and $|D(f_i, f_{i+1})| = 1$ for every $i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$. Thus $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n$ is the required sequence.

(ii) $A = B$.

Using an observation that $A = B$ implies that $X - (R(f) \cup R(g)) \neq \emptyset$, choose $x \in X - (R(f) \cup R(g))$. Choose $d \in D$, let $f_1 = f$ and define f_2 so that $f_2|_{X-\{d\}} = f_1|_{X-\{d\}}$ and $f_2(d) = x$. Let g_1 be such that $g_1|_{X-\{d\}} = g|_{X-\{d\}}$ and $g_1(d) = x$.

Then $D(f_1, f_2) = D(g_1, g) = \{d\}$ and $D(f_2, g_1) = D - \{d\}$, so there exist $f_3, \dots, f_n \in S$ with $f_n = g_1$ and $|D(f_i, f_{i+1})| = 1$ for every $i = 2, \dots, n - 1$. The result follows.

LEMMA 9. Let λ be a congruence on S , $\lambda \subseteq \Delta_{x_0}$. Let

$N = \{\text{def } f : f \in S, |[f]| \neq 1, [f] \in S/\lambda\}$, and for every $n \in N$ let

$L(n) = \{|D(f, g)| : f, g \in S, f \neq g, (f, g) \in \lambda, \text{def } f = n\}$,

$\Psi_n : L(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be defined by $\Psi_n(l) = n + l + 1, l \in L(n)$. Let m_n be a minimum value of Ψ_n and

$$m = \min\{m_n : n \in N\}.$$

Then $\lambda \cap (I_m \times I_m) = \Delta_{x_0} \cap (I_m \times I_m)$.

Proof. Let $m = m_n = n + l + 1$, for some $n \in N$ and $l \in L(n)$. Let $f, g \in S$ such that $(f, g) \in \lambda, f \neq g, \text{def } f = \text{def } g = n$ and $|D(f, g)| = l$. Let $(t, s) \in \Delta_{x_0}$ with $\text{def } t = \text{def } s = k \geq m$. In view of Lemma 8 we can assume that $|D(t, s)| = 1$. Let $D(t, s) = \{x\}, D(f, g) = D$. Choose $d \in D, a \in X - D$ and $q \in S$ with $R(q) = (X - D) \cup \{d\} - \{a\}$ and $q(x) = d$. Then $D(fq, gq) = \{x\}, \text{def } fq = \text{def } gq = n + |D| = m - 1 < k$, and so Lemma 7 implies there exists $l \in S$ such that $lfq = t, lgq = s$, so that $(t, s) \in \lambda$.

LEMMA 10. Every equivalence series Σ_k derived from $\rho_k \subseteq \tilde{\Delta}_{x_0}$ is finite.

Proof. The result follows from the proof of Lemma 9 and an observation that the relation *derived from* is transitive, that is if $l_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2, 3$ and ρ_{l_i} is an equivalence on C_{l_i} such that $\rho_{l_{i+1}}$ is derived from $\rho_{l_i}, i = 1, 2$, then ρ_{l_3} is derived from ρ_{l_1} .

Proof of Theorem 4. That ρ defined in (1) is a congruence on S follows from the definition of a congruence series derived from ρ_n and Lemma 10.

To show the converse let $\lambda \subseteq \Delta_{x_0}$ be a non-diagonal congruence on S and let n be the minimal integer such that $\lambda \cap (C_n \times C_n) \neq i \cap (C_n \times C_n), m$ be the maximal integer with

$\lambda \cap (C_m \times C_m) \neq \Delta_{\aleph_0} \cap (C_m \times C_m)$ if $\lambda \cap (C_n \times C_n) \neq \Delta_{\aleph_0} \cap (C_n \times C_n)$ and $m = n$ otherwise. Then for every $k, n \leq k \leq m$, λ induces in a natural way equivalences ρ_k on C_k . The set of all these equivalences forms a maximal congruence series Σ_n derived from ρ_n and the result follows.

COROLLARY 11. (i) *Every right [left] cancellative congruence η on S contains Δ_{\aleph_0} .*
 (ii) *Every group congruence on S contains Δ_{\aleph_0} .*

Proof. (i) Assume $\eta \supseteq \Delta_{\aleph_0}$ is right cancellative, and let $(f, g) \in \Delta_{\aleph_0}$. Let m be such that $(\Delta_{\aleph_0} \cap \rho) \cap (I_m \times I_m) = \Delta_{\aleph_0} \cap (I_m \times I_m)$ (Lemma 9) and $t \in C_m$. Then $(ft, gt) \in \Delta_{\aleph_0} \cap \eta$, so that $(f, g) \in \eta$ since η is right cancellative.

(ii) Follows from (i).

The next lemma is self-evident.

LEMMA 12. *For any $f \in \mathcal{G}_X \cup S, n \geq 0$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}_X \cup S$ with $\text{def } g = n$ and $(f, g) \in \Delta'_{\aleph_0}$, where $\aleph_1 = \aleph_0^+$.*

Proof of Theorem 5. (i) It is not difficult to verify that Δ_{\aleph_0} is a cancellative congruence. Hence, in view of Corollary 11 (i) it is sufficient to show that Δ_{\aleph_0} is idempotent-free. This follows from an observation that for any $f \in S, D(f, f^2) = D(fi_X, f^2) = D(i_X, f)$ (where i_X is the identity mapping on X), so that $|D(f, f^2)| \geq \aleph_0$ and $(f, f^2) \notin \Delta_{\aleph_0}$.

(ii) It is sufficient to show the existence of the indicated isomorphisms. For that let $f \in S, h \in \mathcal{G}_X, [f]$ and $[h]$ be Δ'_α -classes of f in S and h in \mathcal{G}_X respectively. We show that $[f] \cup [h]$ is the Δ'_α -class of f (or, equivalently, h) in $\mathcal{G}_X \cup S$ if and only if $(f, h) \in \Delta'_\alpha$. While the necessity is clear the sufficiency follows from the observation that if $(f, h) \in \Delta'_\alpha$ and A is the Δ'_α class of f in $\mathcal{G}_X \cup S$ then $A \cap \mathcal{G}_X = [h]$ and $A \cap S = [f]$.

(iii) Let γ be a congruence on S containing Δ_α . Since $S/\Delta_\alpha \cong \mathcal{G}_X/\Delta_\alpha$ there exists a homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}_X/\Delta'_\alpha$ onto S/γ , so that there is a congruence γ' on \mathcal{G}_X such that $\mathcal{G}_X/\gamma' \cong S/\gamma$. But then $\gamma' = \Delta_\beta$ for some $\beta \geq \alpha$ and so

$$S/\gamma \cong \mathcal{G}_X/\Delta'_\beta \cong S/\Delta_\beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \Delta_\beta.$$

The next result describes some properties of the congruence δ . Recall that $(f, g) \in \delta$ if $\text{def } f = \text{def } g$.

PROPOSITION 13. (i) $\delta \vee \Delta_{\aleph_1} = S \times S$.

(ii) δ is the unique congruence on S such that $S/\delta \cong (\mathbb{N}, +)$, where $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ denotes the semigroup of positive integers under addition.

Proof. (i) Let $f, g \in S$. According to Lemma 12, there exists $k \in S$ such that $(f, k) \in \delta, (k, g) \in \Delta_{\aleph_1}$, so that $(f, g) \in \Delta_{\aleph_1} \circ \delta \subseteq \delta \vee \Delta_{\aleph_1}$.

(ii) We show that if θ is a homomorphism from S onto $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ then $\theta^{-1} \circ \theta = \delta$. Firstly observe that if $f \in C_m$ and $g \in C_n$ with $m < n$, then $\theta(f) < \theta(g)$, for there exists $q \in S$ such that $qf = g$ and $\theta(q) + \theta(f) = \theta(g)$. Since θ is onto, $\theta(C_1) = 1$ and so $\theta(C_m) = m$, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (indeed, if $f \in C_m$ then there exist $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in C_1$ such that $f = f_1 f_2 \dots f_m$, so that $\theta(f) = \theta(f_1) + \theta(f_2) + \dots + \theta(f_m) = m$).

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $\sigma(m, n) \rightarrow (m, n)$ be a mapping of (δ) onto $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. The result then follows from an observation that $\sigma(m_1, n_1) \subseteq \sigma(m_2, n_2)$ if and only if $m_2 \leq m_1$ and n_2 divides n_1 .

(iii) Follows from the fact that a monogenic semigroup of type (m, n) is a group if $m = 0$ and Proposition 13.

(iv) Observe firstly that $\bigcap \{\sigma(1, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \delta$, indeed, if $(f, g) \in \bigcap \{\sigma(1, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $\text{def } f \equiv \text{def } g \pmod n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\text{def } f = \text{def } g$, or $(f, g) \in \delta$. If there is the least group congruence τ on S , then $\tau \subseteq \sigma(1, n)$ for every n , so that $\tau \subseteq \bigcap \{\sigma(1, n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \delta$. It follows that δ is a group congruence (for $S/\delta \cong (S/\tau)/(\delta/\tau)$, which is a homomorphic image of the group S/τ , a contradiction to Proposition 13).

The verification of (v)–(vii) is trivial.

(viii) Let $\gamma \in (\delta \cap \Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\alpha)$, $\gamma \not\subseteq \delta$, m be the minimal integer for which there exists $f \in S$ with $\text{def } f = m$ such that the γ -class of f does not coincide with the $(\delta \cap \Delta_\alpha)$ -class of f . Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all classes of γ that contain an element g of C_m and that do not coincide with the g -class of $\delta \cap \Delta_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{C_m \cap B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Let $k = \min\{\text{def } g : (f, g) \in \gamma, (f, g) \notin \delta\}$ and $n = k - m$. Clearly, γ coincides with $\delta \cap \Delta_\alpha$ on $\bigcup \{C_l : 1 \leq l \leq m - 1\}$. We show firstly that

$$\gamma \cap (I_{m+1} \times I_{m+1}) \supseteq \sigma(m, n) \cap \Delta_\alpha \cap (I_{m+1} \times I_{m+1}). \tag{2}$$

For $f \in S$ let $S(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq x\}$ and shift $f = |S(f)|$.

Let $(f, g) \in \gamma$ with $\text{def } f = m$, $\text{def } g = m + n$. We observe that for every $t \in C_1$ with shift $t = \aleph_0$, $(t^n f, g) \in \delta \cap \Delta_\alpha \subseteq \gamma$, so that $(f, t^n f) \in \gamma$. Moreover, for any integer $l > 0$,

$$(f, t^{ln} f) \in \gamma, \tag{3}$$

since $(f, t^n f) \in \gamma$ implies $(t^n f, t^{2n} f) \in \gamma$, so that $(f, t^{2n} f) \in \gamma$ etc.

Take $(p, q) \in \sigma(m, n) \cap \Delta_\alpha \cap (I_{m+1} \times I_{m+1})$, and let f be as above. Since $\text{def } p > m = \text{def } f$ there exists an s in S such that $p = sf$. Without loss of generality assume that $\text{def } q - \text{def } p = an$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $a > 0$. Now (3) implies that $(sf, st^{an} f) \in \gamma$, or $(p, st^{an} f) \in \gamma$. Also, $\text{def}(st^{an} f) = \text{def } q$ and $D(st^{an} f, q) \subseteq D(p, q) \cup f^{-1}(S(t^{an}))$, so that $|D(st^{an} f, q)| < \alpha$ and $(st^{an} f, q) \in \delta \cap \Delta_\alpha \subseteq \gamma$. We conclude that $(p, q) \in \gamma$.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that if $(u, v) \in \gamma \cap (I_{m+1} \times I_{m+1})$ then $(u, v) \in \sigma(m, n)$. Assume $m < \text{def } u < \text{def } v$ and let $(\text{def } v) - (\text{def } u) = bn + r$, where $b, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq r < n$. Let f and t be chosen as above. We show that if $r > 0$ then $(f, t^r f) \in \gamma$, a contradiction to the choice of n that assures that $r = 0$ and so $(u, v) \in \sigma(m, n)$. Observe that there exists $w \in S$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l > 0$ such that $t^{ln} f = wu$. But then $(wu, wv) \in \gamma$ implies that $(t^{ln} f, wv) \in \gamma$, so that using (3) we conclude that $(f, wv) \in \gamma$. Note that $\text{def } wv = (l + b)n + m + r$ and since $\gamma \supseteq \delta \cap \Delta_\alpha$ we have $(f, t^{n(l+b)+r} f) \in \gamma$. By (3), we have that $(t^r f, t^{n(l+b)+r} f) \in \gamma$, so that $(f, t^r f) \in \gamma$.

REMARK. In this paper we described certain large classes of congruences and parts of the lattice of congruences on S . A description of *all* congruences and the lattice of congruences of S is as yet an open problem. In particular, we conjecture that for every infinite cardinal $\alpha \leq |X|$, every congruence in the interval $(\Delta_\alpha \cap \delta, \Delta_{\alpha+} \cap \delta)$ can be

described in terms of a finite equivalence series derived from a given equivalence λ on C_k for some $k \geq 1$ such that $\bar{\Delta}_\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \bar{\Delta}_\alpha^+$ as was done in Theorem 4 for congruences $\rho \subseteq \Delta_{\kappa_0}$.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *Algebraic theory of semigroups*, Math Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., Vol. I (1961).
2. I. Levi, B. M. Schein, R. P. Sullivan and G. R. Wood, Automorphisms of Baer–Levi semigroups, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **28** (1983), 492–495.
3. I. Levi and G. R. Wood, On maximal subsemigroups of Baer–Levi semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **30** (1984), 99–102.
4. I. Levi, Automorphisms of normal transformation semigroups, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, **28** (1985), 185–205.
5. D. Lindsey and B. Madison, The lattice of congruences on Baer–Levi semigroup, *Semigroup Forum* **12** (1976), 63–70.
6. B. W. Mielke, Regular congruences on Croisot–Teissier and Baer–Levi semigroups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **24** (1972), 539–551.
7. B. W. Mielke, Regular congruences on a simple semigroup with a minimal right ideal, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **20** (1973), 79–84.
8. B. W. Mielke, Completely simple congruences on Croisot–Teissier semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **9** (1975), 283–293.
9. B. M. Schein, Symmetric semigroups of one-to-one transformations, *Second all-union symposium on the theory of semigroups, summaries of talks, Sverdlovsk* (1979), **99** (Russian).
10. E. G. Shutov, Semigroups of one-to-one transformations, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **140** (1961), 1026–1028.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE
LOUISVILLE,
KENTUCKY 40292

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS
FAYETTEVILLE,
ARKANSAS 72701