## Mechanisms and potential implications of fragmentation in loworder streams

# Troy A. Keller<sup>1</sup>

Environmental Science Program, Department of Earth and Space Sciences, Columbus State University, 4225 University Avenue, Columbus, Georgia 31907 USA

### Eric B. Snyder<sup>2</sup>

Department of Biology, 207 Henry Hall, Grand Valley State University, Allendale, Michigan 49401 USA

#### Jack W. Feminella<sup>3</sup>

Department of Biological Sciences, 101 Rouse Life Sciences, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama 36849 USA

Ecologists have long known that stream ecosystems require 4-dimensional connectivity (sensu Ward 1989) to sustain natural biodiversity and productivity (Ward and Stanford 1983, Stanford et al. 1996, Olson et al. 2007). That this connectivity is both hydrologically based and significantly altered by human activities is without question (Dynesius and Nilsson 1994, Stanford et al. 1996, Pringle 2001, Bernhardt et al. 2005). The papers in this series describe studies designed to assess or restore hydrologic connectivity in small streams. In a recent review of efficacy of stream restoration, Palmer et al. (2010) reported extremely limited success in increasing benthic biodiversity when restoration efforts were limited to local or proximate spatial scales and advocated a more holistic watershed-scale approach to prioritizing restoration targets (see also Walsh et al. 2005). However, improvement and restoration of flow regimes in ecosystems requires proximate solutions, such as those described herein (i.e., small dam removal and improvements to stream-road crossings), particularly if local 'fixes' can be integrated into holistic watershed-improvement plans.

The papers in this series provide important findings about how ubiquitous instream structures, such as low-head dams and culverts, affect fishes and largebodied benthic invertebrates and their habitats. Helms et al. (2011) and Gangloff et al. (2011) compared intact, breached, and relict (i.e., entirely removed) mill dams in Alabama (USA). Fish species richness was lower upstream than downstream of intact dams (Helms et al. 2011), and mussel abundance and richness was higher downstream of intact dams than downstream of partial or relict (flow restored) dams. Both groups reported strong negative effects of breached dams, possibly from changes to instream habitat conditions. Collectively, their results provide important insights about how to implement and prioritize dam removal to improve connectivity within small streams (Pringle 2001, Stanley and Doyle 2003).

Dams are not the only instream structures that alter physical conditions and have the potential to fragment populations. Culverts at road crossings create barriers to the movement of anadromous fishes (Davis and Davis 2011) and crayfishes (Foster and Keller 2011). Davis and Davis (2011) reported elevated catchper-unit-effort for juvenile salmon upstream of culverts in high-gradient streams (spawning habitats) and downstream of low-gradient, wetland streams (rearing areas) in Alaska. Fish appeared to avoid passing through culverts with high flow velocity. In Michigan streams, elevated flow velocity in culverts limited upstream movement of several crayfish species, and high-flow conditions favored upstream movement of nonindigenous species over native taxa (Foster and Keller 2011). It appeared that restoration efforts could improve fish passage, but culvert restoration projects should be designed individually to achieve sufficiently low-velocity thresholds to facilitate upstream passage by crawling invertebrates or other less-mobile biota.

Our understanding of the ecological implications of instream barriers is growing, but key questions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E-mail addresses: keller\_troy@columbusstate.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> snydeeri@gvsu.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> feminjw@auburn.edu

remain unanswered. Research is needed to characterize the effects of barriers on diverse stream biota, such as aquatic insects and microbiota in biofilms. Comprehensive studies incorporating multiple taxa and trait-based approaches (e.g., Statzner and Bêche 2010, Walters 2011) hold great promise for improving our understanding of the severity and spatial dynamics of fragmentation. Large gaps exist in our knowledge of the evolutionary implications of these structures for restricting gene flow, but emerging genomic tools are available to quantify these effects (e.g., Buhay and Crandall 2005, Hughes et al. 2009). Information about the causal pathways by which structures influence biota could be used to create new construction and restoration standards designed to protect the biological integrity of stream ecosystems.

#### Literature Cited

- BERNHARDT, E. S., M. A. PALMER, J. D. ALLAN, G. ALEXANDER, K. BARNAS, S. BROOKS, J. CARR, S. CLAYTON, C. DAHM, J. FOLLSTAD-SHAH, D. GALAT, S. GLOSS, P. GOODWIN, D. HART, B. HASSETT, R. JENKINSON, S. KATZ, G. M. KONDOLF, P. S. LAKE, R. LAVE, J. L. MEYER, T. K. O'DONNELL, L. PAGANO, B. POWELL, AND E. SUDDUTH. 2005. Synthesizing U.S. river restoration efforts. Science 308:636–637.
- BUHAY, J. E., AND K. A. CRANDALL. 2005. Subterranean phylogeography of freshwater crayfishes shows extensive gene flow and surprisingly large population sizes. Molecular Biology 14:4259–4273.
- DAVIS, J. C., AND G. A. DAVIS. 2011. The influence of stream crossing structures on the distribution of rearing juvenile Pacific salmon. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 30:1117–1128.
- DYNESIUS, M., AND C. NILSSON. 1994. Fragmentation and flow regulation of river systems in the northern third of the world. Science 266:753–762.
- FOSTER, H. R., AND T. A. KELLER. 2011. Flow in culverts as a potential mechanism of stream fragmentation for native and nonindigenous crayfish species. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 30:1129–1137.
- GANGLOFF, M. M., E. E. HARTFIELD, D. C. WERNEKE, AND J. W. FEMINELLA. 2011. Associations between small dams and mollusk assemblages in Alabama streams. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 30:1107–1116.
- Helms, B. S., D. C. Werneke, M. M. Gangloff, E. E. Hartfield, and J. W. Feminella. 2011. The influence of low-head

dams on fish assemblages in streams across Alabama. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 30: 1095–1106.

- HUGHES, J. M., D. J. SCHMIDT, AND D. S. FINN. 2009. Genes in streams: using DNA to understand movement of freshwater fauna and their riverine habitat. BioScience 59:573–583.
- OLSON, D. H., P. D. ANDERSON, C. A. FRISSELL, H. H. WELSCH, AND D. F. BRADFORD. 2007. Biodiversity management approaches for stream-riparian areas: perspectives for Pacific Northwest headwater forests, microclimates, and amphibians. Forest Ecology and Management 246: 81–107.
- PALMER, M. A., H. L. MENNINGER, AND E. BERNHARDT. 2010. River restoration, habitat heterogeneity and biodiversity: a failure of theory or practice? Freshwater Biology 55(Supplement 1):205–222.
- PRINGLE, C. M. 2001. Hydrologic connectivity and the management of biological reserves: a global perspective. Ecological Applications 11:981–998.
- STANFORD, J. A., J. V. WARD, W. J. LISS, C. A. FRISSELL, R. N. WILLIAMS, J. A. LICHATOWICH, AND C. C. COUTANT. 1996. A general protocol for restoration of regulated rivers. Regulated Rivers: Research and Management 12: 391–413.
- STANLEY, E. H., AND M. W. DOYLE. 2003. Trading off: the ecological effects of dam removal. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 1:15–22.
- STATZNER, B., AND L. A. BÊCHE. 2010. Can biological invertebrate traits resolve effects of multiple stressors in running water ecosystems? Freshwater Biology 55(Supplement 1):80–119.
- WALSH, C. J., T. D. FLETCHER, AND A. R. LADSON. 2005. Stream restoration in urban catchments through redesigning stormwater systems: looking to the catchment to save the stream. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 24:690–705.
- WALTERS, A. W. 2011. Resistance of aquatic insects to a lowflow disturbance: exploring a trait-based approach. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 30:346–356.
- WARD, J. V. 1989. The four-dimensional nature of lotic ecosystems. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 8:2–8.
- WARD, J. V., AND J. A. STANFORD. 1983. The serial discontinuity concept of lotic ecosystems. Pages 29–42 in T. D. Fontaine III. and S. M. Bartell (editors). Dynamics of lotic ecosystems. Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Copyright of Journal of the North American Benthological Society is the property of North American Benthological Society and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.